

ONTARIO COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

Referrals for Review at the:

Coordinated Review Committee Meeting –Tuesday October 8th, 2024, at 3:30pm 20 Ontario St., Room 229, Canandaigua, NY**County Planning Board Meeting – Wednesday October 9th, 2024 at 7:00pm 74 Ontario St., Room 218, Canandaigua, NY**

Telephone: 585-396-4455

<u>Referral No</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Referring Board</u>	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Application Type - Class</u>	<u>Pg. #</u>
181-2024	Town of Victor	Zoning Board of Appeals	Kubiak, Elizabeth	Area Variance - Exempt	3
182-2024	Town of Geneva	Town Board	Town of Geneva, Town Board	Text Amendment - 2	3
183-2024	Town of Victor	Town Board	Town of Victor, Town Board	Text Amendment - 2	3
184.0-2024	Town of Phelps	Zoning Board of Appeals	CTEC Solar, LLC	Area Variance - 1	4
184.1-2024	Town of Phelps	Planning Board	CTEC Solar, LLC	Site Plan - 1	6
185.0-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Town Board	Marathon Engineering	Map Amendment - 2	6
185.1-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Marathon Engineering	Major Subdivision - 1	9
186-2024	Town of Richmond	Zoning Board of Appeals	Wittreich, Michael N.	Area Variance - Exempt	9
187.0-2024	Town of Geneva	Town Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Map Amendment - 2	9
187.1-2024	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Major Subdivision - 1	11
187.2-2024	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Site Plan - 1	11
188-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Zoning Board of Appeals	Yost, Charles	Area Variance - AR 2	12
189-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Brennan , Donald & Julie	Special Use Permit - Exempt	13
190-2024	Town of Victor	Town Board	Town of Victor, Town Board	Map Amendment - 2	13
191.0-2024	Town of Gorham	Planning Board	Shively, Victoria	Site Plan - Exempt	15

<u>Referral No</u>	<u>Municipality</u>	<u>Referring Board</u>	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Application Type - Class</u>	<u>Pg. #</u>
191.1-2024	Town of Gorham	Planning Board	Shively, Victoria	Minor Subdivision - Exempt	15
192-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Town Board	Town of East Bloomfield, Town Board	Map Amendment - 2	15
193.0-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Zoning Board of Appeals	Steckel, Leslie E.	Area Variance - 1	16
193.1-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Steckel, Leslie E.	Special Use Permit - 1	18
193.2-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Steckel, Leslie E.	Site Plan - Exempt	18
194-2024	Town of Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Preston, Joseph & Annie	Use Variance - 2	19
195-2024	Town of Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Battle, Todd & Kristina	Area Variance - 1	21
196-2024	Town of Richmond	Planning Board	Kennedy, Samantha	Minor Subdivision - AR 1	23
197-2024	Town of Richmond	Planning Board	Ward, Greg & Kim	Site Plan - 1	24
198-2024	Town of Victor	Planning Board	Land Tech, Keith Nickoloff	Major Subdivision - 1	25
199-2024	Town of Victor	Planning Board	Tambe, Michael	Site Plan - 1	26
200-2024	Town of Victor	Zoning Board of Appeals	Victor Heights Campus, LLC	Area Variance – 1 (Late Referral)	27
201-2024	Town of South Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Fox, Jason & Megan	Area Variance – 1 (Late Referral)	28

181-2024	Town of Victor	Zoning Board of Appeals	Kubiak, Elizabeth	Area Variance - Exempt
16.00-1-58.100	Area Variance for the installation of four (4) fenced pasture areas consisting of 8' high wire fencing (when a no greater than 6 ft. is allowed) – at 6505 Gillis Rd. in the Town of Victor.			

182-2024	Town of Geneva	Town Board	Town of Geneva, Town Board	Text Amendment - 2
n/a	Local Law to amend Section 165-45A (Area Requirements) of Article V (Planned Unit Development) of the Zoning Code of the Town of Geneva - lowering the PUD minimum area requirement from five (5) to three (3) contiguous acres.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45437/182-2024-LL-T-Geneva-PUD-Text-Amendment>

Town of Geneva Town Code Section 165-45A currently states that, “No PUD district shall be established having an area less than five contiguous acres”.

The proposed Local Law would repeal Section 165-45A and the following paragraph would be inserted in its place – “No PUD district shall be established having an area less than three contiguous acres”.

183-2024	Town of Victor	Town Board	Town of Victor, Town Board	Text Amendment - 2
n/a	Local Law imposing a six-month moratorium on the review, approval, permitting, and construction of large-scale solar energy systems in the Town of Victor.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45438/183-2024-Resolution-T-Victor-Solar-Moratorium>

The Town Board of the Town of Victor adopted a Local Law (in April 2024) to impose a six-month moratorium on the review, approval, permitting, and/or construction of large-scale solar energy systems.

The Solar Taskforce was established and has met regular but has not completed their review. They have asked the Town Board to extend the moratorium by six months. The referral submitted now is for an extension to the previous six-month moratorium.

184.0-2024	Town of Phelps	Zoning Board of Appeals	CTEC Solar, LLC	Area Variance - 1
63.00-3-20.130; 63.00-3-20.111	Site Plan and Area Variance (impervious internal roadway when roadways within the site shall not be constructed of impervious materials) for the construction of a 3.4-megawatt (MW) solar energy system – at 120 and 138 Cross Rd., just north of the Cross Rd. / Welch Rd. intersection, in the Town of Phelps.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45439/1840-2024-and-1841-2024-Aerial-CTEC-Solar>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45449/1840-2024-and-1841-2024-North-and-South-Plans-CTEC-Solar>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45448/1840-2024-and-1841-2024-Landscaping-Plan-CTEC-Solar>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45451/1840-2024-and-1841-2024-Project-Summary-CTEC-Solar>

The project will be situated on two (2) parcels of land: 120 (25.8-acres) and 138 Cross Rd. (2.41-acres) that are to be combined. The applicant proposes to develop up to 14.95-acres. According to the Town’s Solar Law, large-scale solar energy systems are permitted in the C-1 (Commercial) Zoning District with the issuance of Site Plan approval from the Planning Board.

Basic components of the site include: PV panels, solar panel racking systems, access road, power conversion equipment pad (w/ a DC to AC inverter, medium voltage transformer, and electronic control cabinet), underground 13.2-kilovolt (kV) electrical connector lines which connect the array to the collection substation, and perimeter fencing.

120 Cross Rd. currently has a rural residential use (contains home), while 138 Cross Rd. is considered vacant residential. The site is bounded to the west by the Norfolk Southern Railway line followed by a mix of commercial and residential properties and SR14. It is bounded to the north by forested land followed by the Niagara Mohawk Power transmission line. To the east, the site is bordered by forested and residential land, and to the south it is bounded by Cross Rd. followed by forested residential properties.

A majority of the project’s solar array will be located toward the back (northern) portion of the property. It will be accessed via driveway (roadway) that is designed to use an existing farm track that will extend from an entrance on Cross Rd. up through the middle of the property. Phelps Town Code Section 145-8E(3) states, “Roadways within the site shall not be constructed of impervious materials and shall be designed to minimize the extent of roadways constructed and soil compaction”. After consideration by the civil engineers, it was decided that the access road will require an impervious surface construction for approximately 600 linear feet (from Cross Rd. extending north) out of the total 1,000 linear ft. of driveway. This is due to the low-lying wetland areas on the southern portion of the property that would make it very difficult to maintain the pervious roadway. There are no National or NYS DEC wetlands on the parcel

(nor are there any FEMA 100- or 500-year flood zones). Also, an impervious surface is needed to support the weight of the construction vehicles (pervious surface would be too unstable). Once past the high groundwater areas on the property, the access road would then transition to pervious material.

The project perimeter fence line has been sited 40 ft. away from property lines. Existing forested areas will screen the project from public view on the western, northern, and eastern sides of the property. A majority of the trees on the western half of 120 Cross Rd. are to be cleared. Southern areas of the project which are closest to the road will be screened behind a 20 ft. wide vegetative buffer to minimize potential views from adjoining parcels. In total, 232 evergreens (Spring Grove Arborvitae and Norway Spruce) and 50 deciduous (Sugar Maple, Red Oak, and Allegheny Serviceberry). 67 Shrubs are to be planted as well. The solar panel array area will be seeded.

A swale and collection basin (4 ft. deep) lie on the western edge of the site. The parcel has little to gentle slope (0-9% gradient), sloping downhill from east to west. Approximately half of the project area is former agricultural land, but the site is not located in the Ontario County Agricultural District 1. The site contains approximately 20.6-acres of prime farmland, and 5.3-acres of farmland of statewide importance. The prime farmland soils are classified as Elnora Loamy Fine Sand and Colonie Loamy Fine Sand.

The Solar facility may have a useful life of up to 25 years, with a possible option to extend the term pursuant to Town approval. The \$547,219.56 decommissioning and restoration cost estimate was compiled from an itemized list of project components and decommissioning tasks with standard cost of removal per unit in total. According to the Decommissioning Plan – every five (5) years (for the facilities life), the project owner shall file a report with the Town on the effects of annual inflation adjustment and technological advancements, including a revised decommissioning cost estimate.

Comments:

1. Has a visibility/glare study been done? How visible will it be on neighboring parcels and to drivers on Cross Rd.?
2. The proposed project should repair any field tile drainage during installation and comply with the NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets Guidelines for Solar Development in Agricultural Districts. See link.
https://ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/40554/Solar_Energy_Guidelines
1. The facility area is on land with group 1-4 Mineral Soils and mitigation payment for siting large scale solar on highly productive agricultural soils will be required.
<https://nyserda.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=86307666eff54c829c57b6f2d30cb7e0>
3. Will there be onsite battery energy storage?
4. Will there be mowing/maintenance of the land? Or sheep grazing?
5. Has the area of 120 Cross Rd. containing the single-family home been subdivided from the rest of the parcel containing the solar energy system? Will it be?

184.1-2024	Town of Phelps	Planning Board	CTEC Solar, LLC	Site Plan - 1
63.00-3-20.130; 63.00-3-20.111	Site Plan and Area Variance (impervious internal roadway when roadways within the site shall not be constructed of impervious materials) for the construction of a 3.4-megawatt (MW) solar energy system – at 120 and 138 Cross Rd., just north of the Cross Rd. / Welch Rd. intersection, in the Town of Phelps.			

See 184.0-2024

185.0-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Town Board	Marathon Engineering	Map Amendment - 2
70.00-1-65.100; 70.00-1-18.117; 70.00-1-18.115; 70.00-1-18.114	Subdivision and Map Amendment to rezone four (4) parcels totaling 100-acres (east of Firehouse Rd.) to the Incentive Zoning District, in the Town of Canandaigua. Future development includes for-sale townhomes (90 units), single-family housing (230 units), for-rent apartments (220 units), and as mixed-use retail/residential building (90 units and 5,000 SF of commercial/retail space).			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45455/1850-2024-and-1851-2024-Aerial-Uptown-IZ>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45605/1850-2024-and-1851-2024-Project-Summary-Uptown-IZ>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45608/1850-2024-and-1851-2024-Incentives-Uptown-IZ>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45461/1850-2024-and-1851-2024-Rendering-Uptown-IZ>

The four parcels of land comprising the development area are bordered by Firehall Road (predominately commercial land use) to the west, Parkside Drive (predominately commercial land uses) and Blue Heron Park to the south, agricultural lands to the north, and a residential development to the east.

According to Oncor:

- There are no Federal or NYS DEC wetlands on the parcel
- There is little to no slope (0-3% gradient)
- Soil is primarily Kendaia Loam, Odessa Silt Loam, and Ovid Silt Loam - all listed as prime farmland if drained).
- Land Cover is predominately successional old field going to successional shrubland.

- Adjacent parcels to the north lie within Ontario County Agricultural District #1.

The applicant mentioned that the project has the infrastructure available to support the project - Ontario County owned sewer mains and pump stations, Town of Farmington owned water mains, and Town owned roadways connected to County Road 28 and NYS Route 332 in close proximity. The development will proceed in 3-4 phases with ultimate build-out anticipated in approximately 2035. Sidewalks are proposed on one-side of almost all of the roads within the development.

The proposed project requires incentive zoning approval to develop the mix of housing envisioned by the developer. While all uses proposed are permitted within the zoning, there are several modifications, including relief from the following standards (see project summary in links):

- Single family and Town House lot width
- Single-family building setbacks
- Town house, Apartment, and Mixed-Use building setbacks
- Façade transparency requirements
- Single-family and Townhome driveway setbacks

The applicant mentions that the relief requested does not provide a substantial monetary benefit to the developer as the form-based code permits significantly more dense development than is currently proposed. Instead, the modifications allow the developer to offer single-family and townhomes at a lower price point than what is typical to the market and provides a transitional design to fit within the surrounding neighborhood development patterns.

The applicant mentions this project fills the need for housing in the community and meets the guideline principles of the Mixed-Use Development Subarea (pg. 74 of the Final Uptown Form Based Code):

- Expansion of lower density mixed uses
 - The proposed development includes 5-7 dwelling units per acre (total project) with a wide range of for-rent and ownership opportunities at a variety of price points.
- Establish a combination of low density, multi-family homes in close proximity to commercial uses
 - The west side of the project includes the commercial/retail mixed-use component and is immediately across the street from the State Route 332 Subarea on Firehall Road where a larger commercial presence is anticipated. The proposed residential housing is a mix of for-rent and for-sale with a multitude of options for community residents looking for new homes.
- Expansion of mixed-residential uses in the Town
 - The mix of apartments, single-family homes and townhomes fully complies with this guiding principle.

- Encourage multi-modal mobility options
 - The central boulevard is intended to be a complete street with additional pedestrian improvements and park connections included in the design. Offsite sidewalks for enhancing pedestrian connectivity to NYS Route 332 and existing commercial development are also incorporated in the incentives, discussed later in this report.
- Ensure new development and site design does not negatively impact adjacent residential uses
 - The proposed project thoughtfully transitions to the lower impact single-family homes adjacent to large-lot residential uses on the north and east with the apartments and mixed-use adjacent to the multifamily zoning and State Route 332 Subarea zoning to the south and west.

A report was submitted providing details on specific requested incentives and amenities offered. In total the incentives requested that benefit the developer are estimated at a value of \$689,500 and the amenities offered have an estimated value of \$828,200. Proposed incentives are based on feedback from multiple parties within the Town of Canandaigua including Town Board members, Planning Board members, the Parks and Recreation committee, Town of Farmington Sewer and Water, and Ontario County DPW. After discussions the developer has proposed the following amenities:

- Park recreational equipment
- Off-site sidewalks along the Blue Heron Park frontage and along Parkside Drive to the signalized intersection at Parkside and NYS Route 332.
- New public restroom in Blue Heron Park. The developer proposes to add the park to the sewer district and extend sanitary service to the restroom location.
- Put asphalt pavement on the stone dust trails within Blue Heron Park (decreasing maintenance, increasing accessibility).
- Right-of-way connection to north property line

Comments

1. Will there be a vegetative buffer between the proposed development and the agricultural uses to the north? Since the adjacent properties to the north are Ontario County Agricultural District #1, a note on the subdivision plan indicating the permissibility of noise, dust, odors, etc. from agricultural activities on parcel 56.00-2-20.000 and 70.00-1-21.121 is required.
2. Will any of the units be affordable to households at or below area median income?
3. How many of the units will be ADA compliant or visitable by providing a zero-step entry, 36' doorways, and access to a bathroom?

185.1-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Marathon Engineering	Major Subdivision - 1
70.00-1-65.100; 70.00-1-18.117; 70.00-1-18.115; 70.00-1-18.114	Subdivision and Map Amendment to rezone four (4) parcels totaling 100-acres (east of Firehouse Rd.) to the Incentive Zoning District, in the Town of Canandaigua. Future development includes for-sale townhomes (90 units), single-family housing (230 units), for-rent apartments (220 units), and as mixed-use retail/residential building (90 units and 5,000 SF of commercial/retail space).			

See 185.0-2024

186-2024	Town of Richmond	Zoning Board of Appeals	Wittreich, Michael N.	Area Variance - Exempt
126.00-1-26.230	Area Variance for a 2,560 SF pole barn (for the storage of personal vehicles) when a maximum area of 200 SF is permitted for an accessory structure – at 4425 Allens Hill Rd. in the Town of Richmond.			

187.0-2024	Town of Geneva	Town Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Map Amendment - 2
90.00-2-60.121; 90.00-2-73.112; 90.00-2-75.000	Site Plan, Subdivision, and Map Amendment to rezone 65-acres from the Residential Rural Zoning District (R-2) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) - for a proposed 135-lot single-family residential subdivision for new manufactured homes located at 280 Carter Rd, immediately northwest of the Gambee Rd. intersection, in the Town of Geneva.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45465/1870-2024-and-1871-2024-and-1872-2024-Aerial-Rolling-Hills-Subdiv>
<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45469/1870-2024-and-1871-2024-and-1872-2024-Prelim-Site-Plan-Rolling-Hills-Subdiv>

The 65-acre lot is currently vacant. Disturbance due to the project is TBD. The parcel was recently created through a subdivision of a larger parcel (TM# 90.00-2-75.000). The new homes are intended to be offered at a target price of \$175,000 and are intended to fulfil a portion of the Town’s shortage of available affordable homes. The proposed plan requires rezoning to Planned Unit Development (PUD) since the proposed 8,750 SF minimum lot size does not satisfy the current R-2 District regulations that require a 45,000 SF minimum lot size. The proposed 70 ft. minimum width and 125 ft. minimum depth lots will also include 20

ft. front and rear building setbacks and 10 ft. side building setbacks which will accommodate three-bedroom homes with attached two-car garages. The floor area ratio within each lot will be less than 30% and the density within the PUD will be less than 2.1 dwelling units per acre. Each lot will have 2 surface parking spaces with two additional spaces should a garage be constructed. Multiple off-street parking areas have been dispersed throughout the PUD to provide parking opportunities for visitors and for residents accessing the PUD's recreational amenities.

A community park is proposed in the center of the development. Trees are proposed to be dispersed between homes. The residential subdivision will be served with several new 22 ft. wide, two-way asphalt streets with 2.5 ft. wide concrete gutters along each side. The internal streets will access Carter Rd. at two locations. The Institute of Traffic Engineers Trip Generation Manual predicts approximately 79 vehicles would exit the fully developed 135 lot subdivision during the morning peak hour (7:00 AM to 8:00 AM). Assuming that 65%, or 51 vehicles of exiting traffic would utilize the southern driveway (predicted to get more use – closer to City of Geneva), results in about one outbound vehicle per minute during morning rush hour. It is believed that Carter Rd. can easily accommodate the additional traffic generated by this subdivision without any intersection improvements.

The additional stormwater runoff created by the increased impervious surface will be managed as required by the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation SPDES General Permit for Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities. It is envisioned that the new streets will be served with concrete gutters with drainage inlets and a piped storm sewer system. Storm sewers will be routed to two stormwater detention ponds that will be designed to release stormwater at controlled rates mimicking existing drainage conditions. The PUD will be served with new watermains and sanitary sewers which will be dedicated to the Town of Geneva. Preliminary discussions with Town officials have indicated that both systems have adequate capacity to accommodate the 135-lot subdivision.

According to OnCor:

- Adjacent parcels to the west are in Ontario County Agricultural District #1.
- Subject parcels land use is agricultural. Surrounding land uses varies – Agricultural (south and west), vacant (north), industrial and residential (east).
- Project site has a predominately gentle to no slope (0-9%).
- Soil to be disturbed is predominately Schoharie Silty Clay Loam (not hydric, moderately high permeability, very high erodibility, is not prime farmland, and is in hydrologic soil group D).
- A national wetland lies on the parcel, on the eastern portion of the site along Carter Rd.

Comments

1. Will any of the units be affordable to households at or below area median income?

2. How many of the units will be ADA compliant or visitable by providing a zero-step entry, 36’ doorways, and access to a bathroom?
3. Will there be a vegetative buffer between the proposed development and the agricultural uses to the north? Since the adjacent properties to the north are Ontario County Agricultural District #1, a note on the subdivision plan indicating the permissibility of noise, dust, odors, etc. from agricultural activities on adjacent parcels in the Ontario County Agricultural District #1.

187.1-2024	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Major Subdivision - 1
90.00-2-60.121; 90.00-2-73.112; 90.00-2-75.000	Site Plan, Subdivision, and Map Amendment to rezone 65-acres from the Residential Rural Zoning District (R-2) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) - for a proposed 135-lot single-family residential subdivision for new manufactured homes located at 280 Carter Rd, immediately northwest of the Gambee Rd. intersection, in the Town of Geneva.			

See 187.0-2024

187.2-2024	Town of Geneva	Planning Board	Carter Road Properties, Jeff Cook	Site Plan - 1
90.00-2-60.121; 90.00-2-73.112; 90.00-2-75.000	Site Plan, Subdivision, and Map Amendment to rezone 65-acres from the Residential Rural Zoning District (R-2) to Planned Unit Development (PUD) - for a proposed 135-lot single-family residential subdivision for new manufactured homes located at 280 Carter Rd, immediately northwest of the Gambee Rd. intersection, in the Town of Geneva.			

See 187.0-2024

188-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Zoning Board of Appeals	Yost, Charles	Area Variance - AR 2
56.00-2-55.100	Area Variance to install a 30 SF wall sign on a building façade with multiple existing wall signs (when only one is permitted per building) – located at 2375 SR332, on the east side of SR332 between Aroline and Kepner Rd., in the Town of Canandaigua.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45473/188-2024-Aerial-Yost-Sign>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45476/188-2024-Sign-Sketch-Yost-Sign>

Policy AR-7: Signs

The County Planning Board has long taken an interest in supporting local efforts to limit excessive signage. The Board has identified SR 332 as a primary travel corridor for tourists visiting Ontario County: The intent is to protect the character of development along these corridors by encouraging local boards to adhere to their adopted laws as much as possible.

- A. All applications for signs located on property adjoining primary travel corridors that do not comply with local limits on size and or number.

Final classification: Class 2

Findings:

1. The proposed sign is on land within 500’ of a corridor identified by the Board as being a primary travel corridor for tourists visiting Ontario County.
2. Protection of the community character along these corridors is an issue of countywide importance.
3. Local legislators have standards for signage that allows for business identification sufficient to safely direct customers onto the specified site.
4. It is the position of this Board that the proposed signage is excessive.
5. Excessive signage has a negative impact on community character.

Final Recommendation – Denial

Comments

1. The referring board should grant the minimum variance necessary to allow identification of the business and its products.

189-2024	Town of Canandaigua	Planning Board	Brennan , Donald & Julie	Special Use Permit - Exempt
83.10-1-53.000	Special Use Permit to operate a Tourist Home (for 2-3 individuals) within an existing single-family home – at 3207 Daisy Way in the Town of Canandaigua.			

190-2024	Town of Victor	Town Board	Town of Victor, Town Board	Map Amendment - 2
15.00-2-14.210	Map Amendment to rezone 14.2-acres (of a 24.2-acre parcel) to PDD (Planned Development District) for the future construction of three (3) 18,000 SF (building footprint) four-story apartment buildings – for a total of 156 units. Subject parcel is located at 200 Victor Heights Parkway, 0.5 miles west of the SR96 / SR251 intersection in the Town of Victor.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45485/190-2024-Aerial-Lehigh-Place-PUD>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45492/190-2024-Plans-Lehigh-Place-PUD>

Lehigh Place is a proposed market-rate apartment complex that will be located adjacent to the Finger Lakes Community College Victor Campus and commercial properties (to the west). Commercial uses also lie to the east of the proposed apartment complex. The Auburn Trail and Lehigh Crossing Park lie directly north. Parcels to the south have a residential use. 14.2-acres (northwest portion) will be subdivided from an existing 24.2-acre parcel zoned LI (Light Industrial) and rezoned to PDD for this project.

There will be approximately 300 parking spaces for the 156 units, with 72 of those spaces inside an apartment building or a separate garage building. Building height is proposed at 52 ft. maximum (53 ft. is maximum permitted according to PDD regulations). Anticipated amenities include: grill stations, a pool, a patio with a fire pit, leasing/amenity space, and community space. Sidewalks are located throughout the complex. Three are 11 nearby parks within 5 miles and the Auburn Trail providing outdoor recreation. There will be lights in the parking areas of the complex, location TBD.

There are four driveways proposed from the apartment complex to Victor Heights Parkway – all four of which will be located on the private portion of Victor Heights Parkway. The applicant mentions that by NYSDOT standards, a project that generates fewer than 100 trips in the peak hour is not considered to significantly increase traffic. According to the Traffic Impact Letter of Findings, the residential development will generate a weekday morning peak hour volume of 62 trips and a weekday evening peak hour volume of 79 trips – therefore there is no significant impact to traffic flow.

There are approximately 4.9-acres of wetlands on the site. No development will take place in these areas. The project will also stay outside of the 100 ft. NYSDEC regulated wetland buffer located on the Lehigh Crossing Park parcel to the north. In total, 7.7-acres are proposed to be disturbed. Existing trees on the parcel are to be maintained. Landscaping is also proposed surrounding and in between the apartment buildings. A SWPP will be developed in accordance with NYSDEC requirements. The proposed residential use will encompass 4.8-acres of impervious cover, which is 62% lot coverage. The additional runoff that will result from this development will be directed towards an existing stormwater management facility on the parcel. It is anticipated that the development of this apartment complex will have more green space than an industrial use would, which permits up to 65% impervious lot coverage under the current zoning.

An 8" water main currently runs along Victor Heights Parkway. A Town of Victor dedicated sanitary sewer runs along Victor Heights Parkway as well. Each building will have a separate water service with backflow and sewer lateral. The anticipated water demand and wastewater discharge is based on the NYSDEC "Design Standards for Wastewater Treatment Systems" guidelines for residential use (110 gallons per day per bedroom). With a total of 90 bedrooms per apartment building (3 apartment buildings total), the anticipated water demand and sewage flow is 29,700 gallons/day with a peak flow of 82.5 gallons/minute. No capacity issues are anticipated with the existing infrastructure.

According to OnCor:

- Parcel has gentle to no slope (0-9%).
- Soil disturbed is predominately Cayuga Silt Loam and Phelps Gravelly Loam (areas of prime farmland).
- Land Cover is successional old field with some successional northern hardwoods on the northern portion of the project area.

Comments

1. How many of the units will be ADA compliant or visitable by providing a zero-step entry, 36' doorways, and access to a bathroom?
2. Referring Board should consider additional stormwater management facilities to help mitigate additional runoff from increased impervious surface.
3. It is indicated that the entrances to the apartment complex are on a private road. Will (Victor Central School District) school buses enter/pick up students on this private road?
4. Is there proper road space for school buses and emergency vehicle turnaround?
5. How will trash be disposed of? Will a private company come and pick it up? Where/how many dumpsters will there be?
6. Who will maintain the landscaping? How frequently will it be maintained?
7. Apartments are 4-stories. Will there be elevators?

191.0-2024	Town of Gorham	Planning Board	Shively, Victoria	Site Plan - Exempt
141.00-1-3.222	Site Plan and Subdivision of a 37.8-acre parcel into two parcels (4.0-acres; remaining parcel of 33.8-acres), for the construction of a single-family home – located on east side of CR1, at the CR1 / Hall Rd. intersection in the Town of Gorham.			

191.1-2024	Town of Gorham	Planning Board	Shively, Victoria	Minor Subdivision - Exempt
141.00-1-3.222	Site Plan and Subdivision of a 37.8-acre parcel into two parcels (4.0-acres; remaining parcel of 33.8-acres), for the construction of a single-family home – located on east side of CR1, at the CR1 / Hall Rd. intersection in the Town of Gorham.			

192-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Town Board	Town of East Bloomfield, Town Board	Map Amendment - 2
Multiple Parcels	Local Law to amend the Town of East Bloomfield Official Zoning Map regarding the re-zoning of multiple parcels and to combine LI (Light Industrial) and GI (General Industrial) zoning districts into one - I (Industrial).			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45506/192-2024-LL-Rezone-Town-E-Bloomfield>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45508/192-2024-Zoning-Map-Rezone-Town-E-Bloomfield>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45507/192-2024-Tax-Maps-Rezone-Town-E-Bloomfield>

Proposed changes include:

1. Rezoning Parcel’s Tax Map #67.00-1-69.000 current mixed zoning of AR-2 and LI, wish to make the entire parcel AR-2, remaining residential houses currently zoned LI will be changed to AR-2, Tax Map #'s 67.00-1-64.000, 65.000, 67.000, 66.000 & 68.200 State Route’s 5&20.
2. Also Change existing LI & GI districts to “Industrial” combining to the two Districts, Existing LI to become “Industrial”.

3. Rear portion of 2557 Cannan Rd Tax# 66.00-3-31.200 owned by Denome is currently LI and front portion is CC rezone entire lot to "Industrial" to match the use and to make the entire parcel the same zoning.
4. Tax map # 66.00-3-20.112 rezone the rear portion currently LI to match the front to make the entire parcel CC Community Commercial.
5. Change existing LI to "Industrial for 7819 Tax # 79.00-3-1.000 & 79.00-3-5.000 Rochester Rigging) and 2 adjacent parcels from LI to "Industrial" 79.00-3-2.000, 4.100.
6. Change existing LI for Crossman to "Industrial" 7629 Tax # 80.00-1-4.000 also the rear two lots on West Park Drive Terphane 80.00-1-5.009 & vacant remaining land 80.00-1-77.000.
7. Change existing mixed district tax # 81.00-1-65.112, front portion currently zoned LI fronting on St Routes 5 &20 approximately 1,000 feet back to the water district line change to CC Community Commercial change the remaining parcel that is LI and RR-1 to AR-2 as its part of Rogers farms & change 6645 St Routes 5&20 from LI to AR-2 as it's a residence and there is no longer any LI adjacent to it.

Comments

1. Make clear existing LI allowable uses and regulations are intended to apply in the new I district.
2. The referring body should consider whether to delete the zoning code regulations for the existing GI district or to allow future potential for request to rezone to GI. If not deleting GI text, may want to make maps amendments to LI instead of to I. If the map will reference, I district, the zoning code needs to be amended to rename the LI district to I and search for other related regulations that may reference LI or GI district.

193.0-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Zoning Board of Appeals	Steckel, Leslie E.	Area Variance - 1
54.03-1-49.100	Area Variances (1- building footprint of 448 SF when a minimum of 500 SF is required; 2 - side setback of 26 ft. when 50 ft. is required), Special Use Permit, and Site Plan for a proposed accessory dwelling unit – at 6985 Boughton Rd., on the southeast corner of Boughton Rd. and SR444, in the Town of East Bloomfield.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45509/1930-2024-and-1931-2024-and-1932-2024-Aerial-Torpey-2nd-Dwelling>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45514/1930-2024-and-1931-2024-and-1932-2024-Site-Plan-Torpey-2nd-Dwelling>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45515/1930-2024-and-1931-2024-and-1932-2024-ZBA-App-Torpey-2nd-Dwelling>

Subject Parcel is 3.82-acres. Disturbance is estimated at 0.20-acres. Proposed (temporary) dwelling unit is for the applicant to be able to live in close proximity to their parents to aid in their care as they age. It would be located just south of the existing home. The distance from the south side setback is the reason for the setback area variance. The home is for single occupancy, year-round use. Accessory Dwelling Unit is a specially permitted use in the AR-2 (Agricultural Rural Residential) Zoning District.

According to OnCor:

- Subject parcel and surrounding parcels are in Ontario County Agricultural District #1.
- Subject parcel has a residential land use. Surrounding land use is a mix of residential and agricultural.
- Project location is in an area of gentle slope (4-9% gradient).
- Soil disturbed is Honeoye Loam – not hydric, moderately high permeability, medium erodibility, is an area of prime farmland, and is in hydrologic soil group B.

The proposed accessory structure is to connect to existing water and wastewater utilities. Electricity is provided by RG&E. The home will contain a bedroom, bathroom, kitchen, and living room. Proposed height of the structure is 11'1".

State law requires the ZBA to take the following factors into consideration in making its determination:

1. whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;
2. whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method which will be feasible for the applicant to pursue but would not require a variance;
3. whether the requested area variance is substantial;
4. whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and
5. whether an alleged difficulty is self-created.

Unlike the use variance test, the ZBA need not find in favor of the applicant on every one of the above questions. Rather, the ZBA must merely take each one of the factors into account. The ZBA may also decide that a lesser variance than the one requested would be appropriate, or may decide that there are alternatives available to the applicant which would not require a variance.

In response to the above area variance questions, the applicant mentions (numbers correspond with each other – 1 goes with 1, 2 with 2, etc.):

1. The dwelling proposed will have similar structure and color of other buildings currently on the property. Site proposed is roughly 180 ft. from the road.

2. The width of the building is limited by size regulations for transportation. Site plan indicates the building location does not block the view of roads and limits impact on the neighborhood. The applicant also mentions that they have a limited budget to cover expenses.
3. Size of proposed structure is only 52 SF shy of required minimum area of 500 SF. The site plan is mainly affected by the property being a corner lot. Proposed site is roughly 40 ft. closer to the road than the primary dwelling (roughly 220 ft. from the road).
4. Water and septic will be incorporated with the primary dwelling. Crushed stone foundation limits digging and drainage needs. The structure will not impact the health, safety, or welfare of the community.
5. An accessory dwelling unit does not conflict with code. The only variation is the size. The proposed dwelling unit is larger than the NYS definition for "Tiny House" (400 SF or less). The Town has a minimum size requirement for primary dwellings, but the zoning code does not have allowances for units between 400-500 ft.

193.1-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Steckel, Leslie E.	Special Use Permit - 1
54.03-1-49.100	Area Variances (1- building footprint of 448 SF when a minimum of 500 SF is required; 2 - side setback of 26 ft. when 50 ft. is required), Special Use Permit, and Site Plan for a proposed accessory dwelling unit – at 6985 Boughton Rd., on the southeast corner of Boughton Rd. and SR444, in the Town of East Bloomfield.			

See 193.0-2024

193.2-2024	Town of East Bloomfield	Planning Board	Steckel, Leslie E.	Site Plan - Exempt
54.03-1-49.100	Area Variances (1- building footprint of 448 SF when a minimum of 500 SF is required; 2 - side setback of 26 ft. when 50 ft. is required), Special Use Permit, and Site Plan for a proposed accessory dwelling unit – at 6985 Boughton Rd., on the southeast corner of Boughton Rd. and SR444, in the Town of East Bloomfield.			

194-2024	Town of Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Preston, Joseph & Annie	Use Variance - 2
124.19-1-14.120	Use Variance to convert an existing structure to a single-family dwelling (not a permitted use in the C-B (Community – Business Zoning District) – at 4432 SR64 in the Town of Bristol.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45678/194-2024-Aerial-Preston-Use-Variance>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45518/194-2024-ZBA-App-Preston-Use-Variance>

Subject parcel is 3.0-acres. There is no proposed work/disturbance. There is an existing septic/leach field providing sanitary to the building. The subject parcel shares access with the Town of Bristol Highway Department. The building was permitted for a commercial use and was built in 2011. They would like the property to have a use as a single-family home (which is not permitted in the C-B Zoning District) to be used as a STR (Short-Term Rental) business.

According to OnCor:

- Parcel has a public water source (T. Canandaigua) and gas and electric (RG&E).
- Parcels across SR64 to the east are in the Ontario County Agricultural District #1.
- Subject parcel and surrounding land uses are predominately commercial / commercial vacant (to the north and west). Residential uses exist south of the parcel. Directly east (across SR64) from the parcel is a cemetery and the Bristol Historical Society.

What must be proven in order to be granted a use variance? If requesting a use variance, that is, permission to establish a use of property not otherwise permitted in the zoning district, the applicant must prove "unnecessary hardship." To prove this, State law requires the applicant to show all of the following:

1. that the property is incapable of earning a reasonable return on initial investment if used for any of the allowed uses in the district (actual "dollars and cents" proof must be submitted);
2. that the property is being affected by unique, or at least highly uncommon circumstances;
3. that the variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood; and
4. that the hardship is not self-created.

If any one or more of the above factors is not proven, State law requires that the ZBA must deny the variance.

The applicant responds to the above four questions (numbers correspond with each other – 1 goes with 1, 2 with 2, etc.) as follows:

1. They purchased the property for \$94,500 and added multiple improvements (water access, code compliant windows and doors, added a shed) totaling \$12,585. They have also incurred architect and engineering fees. It is mentioned that financial return only works if they are able to change the structure's use to single-family residential (to STR).
2. Applicant mentions that conversations with the Town before and after were geared towards this structure becoming a residence and subsequently a Short-Term Rental. They mention that they were told a STR is acceptable in this zone. They also mention, "in 2011 when the building was initially approved, the owner was told that he could use part of this property as a mini-storage facility – so that it falls under the guidelines of the zoning district. In retrospect, did this building ever fit? The board indicated that this building could be used for commercial use and doing so would fit the guidelines of the district, even though there were no criteria given for what the commercial use would be. A special use permit was granted back in 2011 which creates an opening for a new special use permit with new owners. This situation is incredibly unique".
3. There are already several existing homes in the Community Business District. The building in question already exists. They are planning to maintain the same footprint but make it a livable space for short-term rental clients.
4. Applicant mentions that conversations with the Town before and after were geared towards this structure becoming a residence and subsequently a Short-Term Rental. They mention that they were told a STR is acceptable in this zone before they purchased the parcel. They also did their own research of the code and came to the same conclusion at the time of purchasing the parcel. This was based on a section of Town Board minutes they read stating that, "Short-Term Rentals shall be allowed in all Zoning Districts, except L-I (Light Industrial). They asked the Town of Bristol about adding water to the property for the single-family home use, and they were told that they could do it through the Town of Canandaigua's water line (which they did). They hired an architect for the building and engineer for the septic. The applicant mentions that, "at any point in time had we known that a home and STR business in this location would not fit into the Community Business District, we would not have purchased the property".

The Code Enforcement Officer mentioned that they strongly recommend "hearing the [applicants/owners] out for this request. They have invested a lot of time, energy, and money into this only upon the information they have been provided".

Comments

1. What is the number of guests/vehicles proposed at a given time?
2. Will the vehicles be parked on the grass? Will the parking area be expanded?
3. Are measures for visual and sound screening/buffering being taken to lessen potential impact on (and from) surrounding properties?
4. The local municipal body authorization is to grant the minimum variance to achieve a reasonable rate of return based on dollars and cents evidence.

195-2024	Town of Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Battle, Todd & Kristina	Area Variance - 1
124.00-2-8.113	Area Variances (2) for the construction of a pole barn at 6330 Montanye Rd. in the Town of Bristol. Variances include: (1) A 1,536 SF accessory structure when no greater than 1000 SF is permitted for an accessory structure on a parcel without a primary structure, and (2) a height of 22.5 ft. when no greater than 18' is allowed.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45540/195-2024-Aerial-Battle-Barn>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45544/195-2024-Plans-Battle-Barn>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45547/195-2024-ZBA-Apps-Battle-Barn>

Subject parcel is 4.85-acres. Disturbance is estimated to be roughly 1,500 SF. The proposed barn will not have water supply or wastewater treatment utilities. Construction of the pole-barn was started without permit approval and a stop work order was issued. Work may not continue until required variances are granted. The Code Enforcement Officer mentioned there was miscommunication between the applicant and the building/zoning department and the applicant was told 22 ft. is the maximum allowed height for the pole barn (in reality, Town Code Section 350-271(1) states that the maximum allowed height is 18 ft.). The applicant is now applying for a height area variance (and an accessory structure building footprint area variance). The applicant intends to build a house on this location (in the future) as well. The 1,000 ft. maximum building footprint requirement (Town Code Section 350-27E) is for accessory structures that are built on vacant land before the primary structure.

According to OnCor:

- Subject and surrounding parcels are in Ontario County Agricultural District #1.
- Subject and surrounding land uses are all residential.
- A FEMA 100-Year Floodplain and a DRAFT 2023 Floodzone lie on the northern end of the parcel.
- Area of proposed work has a gentle slope (4-9% gradient).

- Soil disturbed is Lansing Loam (not hydric, moderately high permeability, high erodibility, is farmland of statewide importance, and is in hydrologic soil group B).
- Land cover is predominately cropland with a mix of successional shrubland and northern hardwoods in the center of the parcel.

State law requires the ZBA to take the following factors into consideration in making its determination:

1. whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance;
2. whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method which will be feasible for the applicant to pursue but would not require a variance;
3. whether the requested area variance is substantial;
4. whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district; and
5. whether an alleged difficulty is self-created.

Unlike the use variance test, the ZBA need not find in favor of the applicant on every one of the above questions. Rather, the ZBA must merely take each one of the factors into account. The ZBA may also decide that a lesser variance than the one requested would be appropriate, or may decide that there are alternatives available to the applicant which would not require a variance.

In response to the above area variance questions, the applicant mentions (numbers correspond with each other – 1 goes with 1, 2 with 2, etc.):

1. There are many pole barns in the surrounding area with similar height, including the adjacent property to the west.
2. The location of the barn was chosen due to the close proximity to the driveway.
3. The height of the building requires a roughly 25% height area variance for a height of 22.5 ft. (when no greater than 18 ft. is permitted). The building footprint of the pole barn requires a 53% area variance for a 1536 SF area (when no greater than 1000 SF is permitted).
4. The height/area of the structure will have no impact on the physical/environmental conditions of the neighborhood. The size of the accessory structure wouldn't need an area variance if the proposed home were built first.
5. The applicant mentions that they were under the impression that they had permission to build a barn with these dimensions.

196-2024	Town of Richmond	Planning Board	Kennedy, Samantha	Minor Subdivision - AR 1
136.17-1-22.000; 136.17-1-23.100	Lot combination of two parcels (TM#'s 136.17-1-22.000 and 136.17-1-23.100) into one, located 500 ft. southeast of the SR20A / CR33 intersection in the Town of Richmond.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45549/196-2024-Aerial-Kennedy-Subdiv>

Policy AR-6: Single-family residential subdivisions under five lots

The intent of this policy is to:

- Address traffic safety along intermunicipal corridors by encouraging proper placement of residential driveways along County roads.
- Address impacts to ground and surface waters

Final Classification: Class 1

Findings

1. As of 2005 69% of the parcels in Ontario County were classified as one or two family residential. Between 2000 and 2005, 2,018 residential parcels were added to the County's tax rolls (Ontario Co. RPTS Annual Report).
2. Collectively individual residential developments have significant impacts on surface and ground water.
3. Proper design of on-site sewage disposal is needed to protect ground and surface waters.
4. Proper storm water and erosion control is also needed to achieve that same end.
5. Proper sight distance at access points along County roads is an important public safety issue of county wide significance.
6. Standards related to protecting water quality and traffic safety have been established by agencies such as the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), and NYSEDC.
7. These issues can be addressed by consulting appropriate agencies during local review and ensuring that those standards are met.
8. It is the position of this Board that properly designed residential subdivision under five lots have little countywide or intermunicipal impact.

Final Recommendation: The CPB will make no formal recommendation to deny or approve single family residential subdivisions under five lots.

197-2024	Town of Richmond	Planning Board	Ward, Greg & Kim	Site Plan - 1
121.00-1-34.000	Site plan for an (existing) 2,880 SF storage building – at 8982 CR15, on the northwest corner of the CR15 / CR37 intersection, in the Town of Richmond.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45552/197-2024-Aerials-Ward-Storage>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45555/197-2024-Sketch-Ward-Storage>

Subject 10.0-acre parcel has a commercial land use, containing a woodworking and motorcycle repair shop. The proposed (existing) building would be used for storage for the businesses. The submitted sketch illustrates plans for three (3) more storage buildings to be built in the future. The SEAF submitted indicates that the building connects to public water supply, but has no wastewater utilities.

According to OnCor:

- Surrounding land uses are predominately open space and conservation (NYS DEC owned). There are a couple adjacent parcels to the north that are residential.
- A stream runs through the center of the subject parcel, flowing into Honeoye Creek (to the east). A FEMA 100-year floodplain buffers the stream. A NYS DEC wetland lies directly behind the proposed (existing) storage building. NYS DEC and Federal wetlands lie across CR37 (to the east). The subject parcel is entirely within the DRAFT FEMA 100-year flood zone.

Comments

1. If any vehicle repair occurs in this storage building, the proper containment/disposal of oils and fluids needs to be ensured.
2. Referring board is encouraged to require additional vegetative screening of the storage building in order to help preserve surrounding community character (agricultural and conservation areas).

198-2024	Town of Victor	Planning Board	Land Tech, Keith Nickoloff	Major Subdivision - 1
7.00-1-12.000	Preliminary Subdivision of a currently vacant 42.4-acre parcel for a proposed 8-lot single-family residential subdivision – on a parcel that lies between Blazey Rd. and CR9, in the Town of Victor.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45556/198-2024-Aerial-Blazey-Subdiv>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45558/198-2024-Concept-Plan-Blazey-Subdiv>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45563/198-2024-LOI-Blazey-Subdiv>

The approximate 42-acre project area is located between Victor Egypt Road and Blazey Road, immediately north of the residential development on Woodbrooke Road. Agricultural fields outline the neighboring properties to the north and east, forested/scrub shrub habitat lies to the west. Subject parcel and adjacent parcels to the east are in Ontario County Agricultural District #1. The landscape across the Site consists of forested (roughly 11-acres), open fields, wetlands, and scrub-shrub habitat in the central and northern portions of the Site. A 7.6-acre Federal/NYSDEC wetland lies on the western portion of the parcel. There are also two separate wetlands on the eastern portion of the parcel – creating a total of 8.8-acres of wetland on the property. A stream divides the project lands, flowing through the site from west to east (tributary of White Brook). The stream is bordered by mature vegetation in the form of native deciduous trees and understory shrubs which will be preserved and protected. Development will adhere to 100 ft. NYSDEC wetland buffer requirement, as well as the 150 ft. Town of Victor stream buffer requirement. Roughly 22.5-acres of the site previously consisted of farm fields used for hay, corn, and soybean crops. The Site slopes downhill from the west to the east with little to no slope (0-3% gradient). Soils are predominately Homer fine sandy loam (prime farmland if drained), fine loamy mixed (not prime farmland), and Lamson (farmland of statewide importance) fine sandy loam.

Four (4) lots are proposed south of the stream corridor and four (4) lots are proposed north of the stream. Five of the eight lots will be “flag” style lots each having 20 ft. of frontage on Blazey road with the following acreages: Lot 1 (3.5-acres), Lot 2 (3.85-acres), Lot 3 (6.22-acres), Lot 4 (3.13-acres), Lot 5 (3.02-acres), Lot 6 (2.66-acres), Lot 7 (3.01-acres), and Lot 8 (16.09-acres). The southern lots (Lots 1-4) will share a common driveway from Blazey Rd., and the northern lots (lots 5-8) will also share a separate common driveway off of Blazey Rd. There is a projected 9-acres of disturbance.

An existing 8” diameter cast iron watermain is found on the east side of Blazey Rd., ending at the southeast corner of the project site. It is proposed to be extended to the northeast along the east side of Blazey Rd. to the two new common driveways (and to the homes). Data provided from the Monroe County Water Authority indicates that adequate flows and pressures are available for the eight (8) new homes and for fire hydrant protection. There is

no existing sanitary sewer. Septic tanks and leach fields will be used each of the proposed lots. Electric and gas is available along Blazey Rd.

In regards to past flooding in the northeast corner of the site associated with wetland W3 on the northeast portion of the parcel (on site plan), the proposed grading and drainage plan is designed to significantly reduce the amount of existing watershed runoff going to this wetland from the project site (lots 5-8), and all new impervious surfaces will be conveyed directly to each of the proposed infiltration basins. There will be only minor changes to the overall site drainage pattern and the proposed grading will only involve the private drives and homes sites. Stormwater management areas (infiltration basins) will be provided on each lot to manage the increase in impervious surface. The individual stormwater management areas will be detailed for the final plans and SWPPP. Any stormwater runoff overflows are to be directed to the south into the White Brook tributary stream, which drains to the east under Blazey Rd. Proposed lots 1-4 will be draining south towards White Brook and will not contribute any stormwater runoff or to any potential flooding related to Wetland W3. The proposed runoff will not exceed the existing runoff from the property.

Comment

1. The applicant and referring agency are encouraged to involve the Ontario County Soil and Water Conservation District as early in the review process as possible to ensure proper design and implantation of stormwater and erosion control measures, and to ensure the proper design and placement of on-site septic.
2. Will there be a vegetative buffer between the proposed development and the agricultural uses to the south and east? Since the adjacent properties to the south and east are Ontario County Agricultural District #1, a note on the subdivision plan indicating the permissibility of noise, dust, odors, etc. from agricultural activities on parcel 7.00-1-13.100 and 7.00-1-13.200 is required.

199-2024	Town of Victor	Planning Board	Tambe, Michael	Site Plan - 1
6.00-1-58.140	Site Plan for a proposed 2,700 SF building addition on the north side of an existing business – at 614 Fishers Run in the Town of Victor.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45566/199-2024-Aerials-Tambe-Addition>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45567/199-2024-Elevations-Tambe-Addition>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45570/199-2024-Site-Plan-Tambe-Addition>

Subject parcel is 2-acres, disturbance is estimated at 0.1-acres. The addition (open air, covered lean-to) proposed is over an existing asphalt area previously used as a storage yard for the business (Tambe Electric). The applicant is also proposing to replace the existing grey fence with a new black fence in the same location. The property is zoned Light Industrial and

the proposal meets the greenspace and open space requirements. The addition proposed over the existing storage yard is to protect the employees and supplies from weather.

According to OnCor:

- Subject parcel has industrial use. Surrounding parcels are industrial or commercial use.
- Area of disturbance predominately has little to no slope, 0-3% gradient (on top of existing asphalt).
- A stream runs through the northern portion of the parcel (just north of proposed addition).

Comment

1. Site Plan and submittal letter indicate that an area variance might be required for the addition’s rear setback of 24 ft. when no less than 30 ft. is allowed. Wouldn’t this project as currently proposed require an area variance for the rear setback? Shouldn’t this be granted before a site plan is proposed/approved?

200-2024	Town of Victor	Zoning Board of Appeals	Victor Heights Campus, LLC	Area Variance – 1 (Late Referral)
15.01-1-32.610	Area Variance for a side setback of less than 30 ft. (when a minimum of 30 ft. is required) for a proposed subdivision – at 115-117 Victor Heights Pkwy. in the Town of Victor.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45572/200-2024-Aerial-Victor-Heights-Subdiv>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45573/200-2024-Concept-Plan-Victor-Heights-Subdiv>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45574/200-2024-LOI-Victor-Heights-Subdiv>

TBA

201-2024	Town of South Bristol	Zoning Board of Appeals	Fox, Jason & Megan	Area Variance – 1 (Late Referral)
178.00-1-25.100	Area Variances (2) for a proposed 792 SF detached garage – at 5956 SR21 in the Town of South Bristol. Area Variances include: (1) a 29.9 ft. front setback when a minimum of 50 ft. is required, and (2) a lot coverage of 23.6% when no greater than 20% is allowed.			

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45577/201-2024-Aerials-Fox-Garage>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45584/201-2024-Survey-Fox-Garage>

<https://www.ontariocountyny.gov/DocumentCenter/View/45585/201-2024-ZBA-App-Fox-Garage>

TBA
