

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 8/28/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
Joseph Okai	1977-09-4-08-006	2023	\$724,800	\$702,100
*M-6	1977-09-4-08-006	2024	\$724,800	\$724,800
*M-4	1977-09-4-11-011	2024	\$754,300	\$754,300
M-4	1977-09-4-11-001	2023	\$271,095	\$271,095
Jordan Ehrlich	2075-05-3-02-002	2024	\$2,298,200	\$2,100,000
*M-3				
Ali Almusawi	1973-27-2-05-031	2024	\$596,900	\$575,000
M-3				
Arapahoe St Mall LLC	2075-23-4-31-003	2022	\$3,817,000	\$2,938,000
M-6	2075-23-4-31-004	2022	\$2,429,000	\$1,867,000
M-4	2075-23-4-31-002	2022	\$3,141,000	\$3,141,000
GAO Industries Inc.	2077-05-2-00-036	2024	\$3,558,000	\$3,114,000
M-3				
Yi Tang	2073-13-1-07-009	2023	\$595,200	\$595,200
*M-1	2073-13-1-07-009	2024	\$595,200	\$595,200
Kimberly Guzman	2077-27-3-07-029	2024	\$872,900	\$872,900
M-1				
Zeleeuw Leteberhan	1975-19-2-13-007	2023	\$529,300	\$529,300
M-1	1975-19-2-13-007	2024	\$529,300	\$529,300
Renato Castaneda Macciavello	2071-19-4-32-003	2023	\$481,300	\$481,300
M-4	2071-19-4-32-003	2024	\$481,300	\$481,300

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 8/28/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
Mohammad Matin	2073-27-2-08-0020	2024	\$315,000	\$315,000
*M-7				
Chung Oh	2073-10-3-21-023	2023	\$603,700	\$603,700
M-7	2073-10-3-21-023	2024	\$603,700	\$603,700
Larry & Susan Beeman	16977-04-4-14-006	2024	\$618,100	\$618,100
*M-10				
Teri Robbins	2061-13-4-13-013	2023	\$445,700	\$445,700
M-10	2061-13-4-13-013	2024	\$445,700	\$445,700
RSD Partners LLC	2077-17-1-06-006	2024	\$17,856,000	\$17,856,000
*M-25	2077-17-1-06-007	2024	\$2,696,000	\$2,696,000
Reason Codes				
M1. I considered the evidence submitted and testimony given by both the Assessor & the petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all the facts presented.				
M3. I considered the evidence submitted and testimony given by both the Assessor & the petitioner/agent at this hearing. I find in this case that this adjusted value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 8/28/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
M4. Petitioner/agent did not appear for the hearing. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by the Assessor, & the evidence submitted by petitioner/agent prior to this hearing. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M6. Petitioner/agent did not appear for the hearing. I considered the evidence submitted & testimony given by the Assessor and the evidence submitted by petitioner/agent with the original petition. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination and or recommendation of value is better supported by all of the facts presented.				
M7. Petitioner/agent did not appear for the hearing. Petitioner/agent did not submit any evidence to this hearing, or with the original petition, to support the abatement request. I considered the evidence submitted & the testimony given by the Assessor, and the absolute lack of any evidence submitted by the petitioner/agent. I find in this case that the Assessor's determination of value is better supported by all the facts presented.				
M9. Petitioner/agent voluntarily withdrew this petition at the hearing. Withdrawal of the petition is hereby accepted as final action by the Board of County Commissioners. Therefore, the final actual value of this parcel for XXXX is \$X,XXX,XXX,XXX.				

ABATEMENTS (recommendations of the hearing officer 8/28/25)

Petitioner/Parcel Address	Parcel Number	Year(s)	Previous Value	New Value
M10. Petitioner/agent voluntarily withdrew this petition prior to the hearing. Withdrawal of the petition is hereby accepted as final action by the Board of County Commissioners. Therefore, the final actual value of this parcel for XXXX is \$X,XXX,XXX,XXX.				